

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,608	11/12/2003	Jom Maeritz	10808/111	5868
48581 7	7590 02/09/2005		EXAM	INER
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			WALLING, MEAGAN S	
INFINEON PO BOX 1039	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60610			2863	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,608	MAERITZ, JORN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Meagan S Walling	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are:	, , ,	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, <i>,</i>	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, , ,	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Appliority documents have been received in Appliority documents have been received.	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma		
<ul> <li>(a) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/04.</li> </ul>		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on November 12, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bone (US 6,647,309).

Regarding claim 1, Bone teaches performing an analysis by using values of at least one process parameter of the manufacturing process of the physical object (column 7, lines 3-5); determining when they don't satisfy a prescribed selection criterion (column 7, lines 25-28); and marking the physical objects in such a way that the associated physical objects are to be sent for a special measurement (column 7, lines 32-33).

Regarding claim 2, Bone teaches that the physical object is a wafer (column 7, lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 3, Bone teaches that the analysis is a statistical analysis (column 7, lines 3-5).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 4, Bone teaches that the values of the at least one process parameter are measured when the physical object is being manufactured (column 7, lines 2-5).

Regarding claim 5, Bone teaches that at least one marked physical object is sent for special measurement (column 7, lines 32-33).

Regarding claim 6, Bone teaches that the special measurement is a measurement for checking the quality of the physical object marked (column 8, lines 24-27).

Regarding claim 7, Bone teaches that the physical objects not marked are further treated according to the manufacturing process (see Fig. 4, Ref. 440 and 450).

Regarding claim 8, Bone teaches that the selection criterion is a quality characteristic of the manufacturing process (column 7, lines 18-20).

Regarding claim 9, Bone teaches that the selection criterion is considered as not satisfied if a value of the at least one process parameter goes above or below a prescribed limit value (column 9, lines 3-6).

Regarding claims 10-12, Bone teaches performing analysis by using values of at least one process parameter of the manufacturing process of the physical object (column 7, lines 3-5); marking of physical objects when, as a result of the analysis, a prescribed selection criterion is not satisfied (column 7, lines 25-28); and sending the associated physical objects for special treatments (column 2, lines 40-42).

Art Unit: 2863

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

John Barlyw Supervisory Pate At Examiner Technology Center 2800